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#### Abstract

More than 4,100 plant-parasitic nematodes species have been described to date, some of which are of significant economic importance since they cause losses in agriculture. This paper presents new data on three species of the genus Longidorus: L. attenuatus, L. elongatus and L. euonymus from Poland. The study was based on 1,138 soil samples taken from different regions of the country. A total of 77 populations of L. elongatus, 23 of L. attenuatus and 7 of L. euonymus were found which corresponds with $6.76 \%, 2.02 \%$ and $0.62 \%$ of all analyzed samples, respectively. Distribution maps are presented together with data on the morphometrics, molecular markers D2-D3 28 S rDNA and data on host plants on which the nematodes were found.
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## Introduction

More than 4,100 plant-parasitic nematode species have been described to date (Hugot et al. 2001). Out of that number 250 species are considered to be of phytosanitary importance (Singh et al. 2013). In worldwide agriculture the projected yield loss caused by the plantparasitic nematodes is $12.3 \%$ ( $\$ 157$ billion) (Singh et al. 2015). The damage caused by the nematodes is, however, probably underestimated as symptoms on plants are often non-specific and can be confused with other pathogens as well as abiotic stresses such as water or nutrients deficiency (Singh et al. 2015). Economic losses in crop value could also be related to a decrease in food quality or visual imperfections associated with infection symptoms (Palomares-Rius et al. 2017). One group of plant-parasitic nematodes belongs to the family Longidoridae. This family is further divided into several genera, three of which (Longidorus, Paralongidorus and Xiphinema) have representatives in Polish fauna (Winiszewska et al. 2012; Kornobis et al. 2015). All members of the family are obligatory ectoparasites of plant roots that live in the soil. They feed
by puncturing root cells with a long, needle-like structure called the stylet. Additionally, 19 species from this family are known as vectors of plant nepoviruses (Taylor and Brown 1997). Several of the family species are important pests of agricultural plants, some of which are also listed as EPPO quarantine species on either A1 and A2 lists, for example, Xiphinema rivesi Dalmasso, 1969 (www.eppo.int). This paper presents the results of a study of three Longidorus species from Poland. The first is L. attenuatus Hooper, 1961, a species that parasites many crops, including sugar beet where it is associated with 'docking' disorder (Whitehead and Hooper 1970) and soybean (Kornobis et al. 2016). It is also a vector of Tomato black ring virus (TBRV) (Harrison 1964). The second species, L. elongatus (de Man, 1876) Thorne and Swanger, 1936 also parasites many plant species, causing losses in some crops including sugar beet (Brown and Sykes 1971) and raspberry (Sharma 1965). It is also a vector of TBRV (Harrison et al. 1961) and Raspberry ringspot virus (RRSV) (Taylor 1962). Compared to these species, there is significantly less
data on the harmfulness of the third species, L. euonymus Mali and Hooper, 1973. Nevertheless, the fact that this species has been found to be associated with some crops for example, barley and strawberry (Groza et al. 2014), suggests that its impact on yield may require further study. All three species were previously recorded from Poland (Witkowska 1958; Brzeski 1968; Szczygieł 1974), however, data on both morphometrics and molecular markers are limited.

In this study, I present new data on localities, the full dataset on morphometrics and molecular marker D2-D3 28S rDNA from Poland.

## Materials and Methods

This study was based in part on the results from the PhD thesis of Kornobis (2013) and on results obtained from later research studies. A total of 1,138 soil samples, taken in Poland from both cultivated and wild plants was analyzed. Nematodes were extracted from the soil according to the method of Brown and Boag (1988), using a $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ mesh for final extraction. They were fixed in $2 \%$ TAF (Courtney et al. 1955) then transferred to pure glycerin and mounted on permanent microscope slides according to the method of Seinhorst (1959). The nematodes were identified microscopically and subsequently, six populations were chosen for analysis of the morphometrics and as molecular markers. Their localization and host plants were as follows: L. attenuatus populations from Mosina ( 52.2558 N 16.8284 E ) and Skierniewice ( 51.962 N 20.1424E) were associated with Pyrus communis L. and Populus alba L., respectively; L. elongatus populations from Mosina ( 52.25 N 16.858 E ) and Ściejowice (49.9996N 19.8133E) were associated with Acer negundo L. and Urtica dioica L., respectively. Finally, both $L$. euonymus populations were taken close to Mosina (52.24780N 16.85446E and 52.24985N 16.85835 E ) and were associated with Juglans regia L. and Salix fragilis L., respectively. The DNA was isolated using DNA DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The PCR was performed in a $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ final volume and using Typeit Microsatellite PCR Master Mix (Qiagen), $4 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of isolated DNA and $0.25 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of the primers. The D2D3 28 S rDNA was amplified using D2A and D3B primers (Nunn 1992). PCR conditions were as follows: $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min and 35 cycles at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~s}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 60 s , $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 60 s and a final extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min . The amplicons were sequenced directly using the same primers as for the PCR and subsequently deposited in GenBank under the following accession numbers: L. attenuatus population from Mosina MW762996, a population from Skierniewice MW762997; L. elongatus a population from Mosina MW762995, a population
from Ściejowice MW762994; L. euonymus population from Mosina associated with J. regia MW762998, population associated with S. fragilis MW762999. Some of measurements presented in the tables are expresed as ratios. These ratios are commonly used in nematology, their meaning can be found for example in the work of van Bezooijen (2006).

## Results

A total of 77 populations of L. elongatus, 23 of L. attenuatus and 7 of $L$. euonymus were found which corresponded with $6.76 \%, 2.02 \%$ and $0.62 \%$, respectively, of all analyzed samples. Distribution maps are presented in Figures 1 and 2. Data on morphometry of the analyzed populations is given in Tables 1 and 2. The obtained sequences showed the following similarity with sequences available in GenBank: L. attenuatus sequence had $99.28 \%$ similarity with both KT755457 and AY601572 sequences; L. elongatus sequences had $99.85 \%$ and $99.71 \%$ with sequences KF242304 and KF242306, respectively; L. euonymus had 99.58\% similarity with both KF242331 and KF242333, respectively. The nematodes were found to be associated with the following host plants: L. attenuatus: alder (Alnus glutinosa (L.) Gaertn.), aspen (Populus tremula L.), black locust (Robinia pseudoacacia L.), box elder (Acer negundo L.), hop (Humulus lupulus L.), hornbeam (Carpinus betulus L.), mirabelle plum (Prunus domestica subsp. syriaca), common pear (Pyrus communis L.), silver poplar (Populus alba L.), soybean (Glycine $\max$ (L.) Merr.), walnut (Juglans regia L.) and wild strawberry (Fragaria vesca L.); L. elongatus: alder, apple tree (Malus domestica Borkh.), box elder, buckhorn (Plantago lanceolata L.), common mugwort (Artemisia vulgaris L.), elder (Sambucus nigra L.), field elm (Ulmus minor Mill.), nettle (Urtica dioica L.), Norway maple (Acer platanoides L.), marram grass (Ammophila arenaria (L.) Link), pear, rose (Rosa sp.); Rubus sp., silver poplar, walnut and willow (Salix sp.); L. euonymus: alder, common pear, crack willow (Salix fragilis L.), Norway maple, small-leaved lime (Tilia cordata Mill.) and walnut.

## Discussion

The results showed that of the three species studied, L. elongatus was the most common species, and also the most common longidorid species of the family Longidoridae in Poland (Kornobis 2013, Kornobis unpublished data). Similarly, L. elongatus was also the most frequent of all longidorid species in a study conducted


Fig. 1. Distribution map of the Longidorus elongatus occurrence in Poland


Fig. 2. Distribution map of the Longidorus attenuatus and L. euonymus occurrence in Poland
Table 1. Morphometrics of female specimens from populations in Poland. All measurements in $\mu \mathrm{m}$ and in format: mean $\pm$ standard deviation (range)

|  | Longidorus attenuatus |  | Longidorus elongatus |  | Longidorus euonymus |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trait | population from Mosina $n=24$ | population from Skierniewice $\mathrm{n}=5$ | population from Mosina $\mathrm{n}=32$ | population from Ściejowice $\mathrm{n}=22$ | population from Mosina associated with Juglans regia $\mathrm{n}=22$ | population from Mosina associated with Salix fragilis $\mathrm{n}=10$ |
| L | $\begin{aligned} & 5698 \pm 493.1 \\ & (4970-6722) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6536 \pm 548.1 \\ & (5958-7411) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5182 \pm 452.6 \\ & (4401-6240) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5509 \pm 259.4 \\ & (4991-6049) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6982 \pm 485.97 \\ & (5887-8085) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7525 \pm 709.83 \\ & (6097-8226) \end{aligned}$ |
| a | $\begin{gathered} 132.2 \pm 8.4 \\ (118-146) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 142.1 \pm 8.92 \\ (134-154) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 92.8 \pm 5.84 \\ (83-105) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100.6 \pm 5.76 \\ (91-114) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 160.4 \pm 9.08 \\ (140-180) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 170.9 \pm 9.88 \\ (154-185) \end{gathered}$ |
| b | $\begin{gathered} 14.2 \pm 1.13 \\ (12-17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.2 \pm 3.15 \\ (14-22) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.2 \pm 0.77 \\ (10-13) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.1 \pm 0.54 \\ (11-13) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.9 \pm 0.92 \\ (13-18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.2 \pm 1.22 \\ (15-18) \end{gathered}$ |
| c | $\begin{gathered} 121.1 \pm 13.69 \\ (103-149) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 139.5 \pm 21.28 \\ (121-168) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 133.2 \pm 15.95 \\ (107-167) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 116.2 \pm 11.32 \\ (100-138) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 151.9 \pm 14.24 \\ (128-183) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 162.5 \pm 17.5 \\ (133-186) \end{array}$ |
| $c^{\prime}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \pm 0.11 \\ & (1.3-1.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \pm 0.16 \\ & (1.3-1.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \pm 0.09 \\ & (0.9-1.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.2 \pm 0.09 \\ & (1.1-1.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3 \pm 0.096 \\ & (1.1-1.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3 \pm 0.07 \\ & (1.2-1.4) \end{aligned}$ |
| d | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \pm 0.1 \\ & (1.9-2.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.0 \pm 0.04 \\ & (2.0-2.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.2 \pm 0.1 \\ (2-2.4) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.2 \pm 0.13 \\ (2-2.5) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.9 \pm 0.081 \\ & (1.8-2.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.8 \pm 0.08 \\ & (1.7-1.9) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{d}^{\prime}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.4 \pm 0.21 \\ & (1.3-2.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.4 \pm 0.06 \\ & (1.3-1.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6 \pm 0.08 \\ & (1.4-1.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \pm 0.08 \\ & (1.4-1.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.4 \pm 0.047 \\ & (1.3-1.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.3 \pm 0,04 \\ & (1.3-1.4) \end{aligned}$ |
| V | $\begin{gathered} 49.1 \pm 1.65 \\ (47-55) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47.2 \pm 1.26 \\ (45-49) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45.4 \pm 0.01 \\ (43-49) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49.4 \pm 0.01 \\ (46-52) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53.1 \pm 1.6 \\ (50-56) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53.6 \pm 0.013 \\ (52-56) \end{gathered}$ |
| Odontostyle length | $\begin{gathered} 80.9 \pm 2.59 \\ (75-86) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83.6 \pm 1.95 \\ (81-86) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 96.2 \pm 2.73 \\ (90-103) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 89.8 \pm 5.6 \\ (77-100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86.8 \pm 2.38 \\ (83-92) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87.4 \pm 3.17 \\ (81-92) \end{gathered}$ |
| Odontophore length | $\begin{gathered} 59.1 \pm 3.58 \\ (52-67) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63.4 \pm 1.52 \\ (61-65) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \pm 3.8 \\ & (58-72) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60.5 \pm 3 \\ & (56-67) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60.5 \pm 4.44 \\ (52-68) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 61.8 \pm 2.7 \\ (57-65) \end{array}$ |
| Lip region width | $\begin{gathered} 14.3 \pm 0.56 \\ (13-15) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.2 \pm 0.45 \\ (14-15) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.2 \pm 0.45 \\ (12-14) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.3 \pm 0.78 \\ (12-15) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.7 \pm 0.48 \\ (14-15) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.8 \pm 0.42 \\ (14-15) \end{gathered}$ |
| Oral aperture to guiding ring | $\begin{gathered} 29.3 \pm 1.33 \\ (26-32) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.8 \pm 0.84 \\ (28-30) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.5 \pm 0.98 \\ (28-31) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.8 \pm 1.11 \\ (28-32) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.1 \pm 0.73 \\ (27-29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26.4 \pm 1.07 \\ (25-28) \end{gathered}$ |
| Largest body width | $\begin{array}{r} 43.1 \pm 2.3 \\ (38-47) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \pm 2.83 \\ & (43-49) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55.8 \pm 3.54 \\ (49-65) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 54.7 \pm 2.71 \\ (49-59) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \pm 2.02 \\ & (40-47) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \pm 2.87 \\ & (39-48) \end{aligned}$ |
| Tail length | $\begin{gathered} 47.3 \pm 3.87 \\ (41-56) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47.4 \pm 5.32 \\ (41-55) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 39.1 \pm 2.37 \\ (35-46) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47.7 \pm 3.14 \\ (42-53) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46.1 \pm 3.2 \\ (40-52) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46.4 \pm 2.22 \\ (42-49) \end{gathered}$ |
| Anal width | $\begin{gathered} 31.6 \pm 1.86 \\ (28-35) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \pm 1 \\ & (31-33) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.0 \pm 2.16 \\ (32-42) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.4 \pm 1.14 \\ (36-40) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.7 \pm 1.28 \\ (31-36) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35.8 \pm 1.48 \\ (34-39) \end{gathered}$ |

Table 2. Morphom
was lower than 5

| Trait | Longidorus attenuatus population from Mosina |  |  |  | Longidorus elongatus population from Mosina |  |  |  | Longidorus euonymus population from Mosina associated with Juglans regia |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J} 1 \\ \mathrm{n}=2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J} 2 \\ \mathrm{n}=1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J} 3 \\ \mathrm{n}=7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J4} \\ \mathrm{n}=8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J} 1 \\ \mathrm{n}=6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J} 2 \\ \mathrm{n}=1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { J3 } \\ n=8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J} 4 \\ \mathrm{n}=12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J} 1 \\ \mathrm{n}=8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J} 2 \\ \mathrm{n}=7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J} 3 \\ \mathrm{n}=12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{J4} \\ \mathrm{n}=6 \end{gathered}$ |
| L | $\begin{aligned} & 1036.9 \\ & (1029-1045) \end{aligned}$ | 1806 | $\begin{aligned} & 2762 \pm 232.2 \\ & (2479-3152) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4218.2 \pm 248.5 \\ (3930-4628) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1126 \pm 23.73 \\ & (1090-1144) \end{aligned}$ | 1977 | $\begin{gathered} 2534.8 \pm 100.78 \\ (2396-2707) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3702.5 \pm 182.41 \\ (3388-3973) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1589.0 \pm 99.13 \\ (1458-1734) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2380.5 \pm 367 \\ (1964-2851) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3206.2 \pm 330.59 \\ (2514-3769) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4747 \pm 147.5 \\ & (4484-4900) \end{aligned}$ |
| a | $\begin{aligned} & 64.8 \\ & (64-65) \end{aligned}$ | 75 | $\begin{gathered} 88.8 \pm 5.22 \\ (80-93) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 116 \pm 6.39 \\ & (107-129) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 51.5 \pm 5.19 \\ (45-59) \end{gathered}$ | 53 | $\begin{gathered} 65.8 \pm 2.78 \\ (60-70) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78 \pm 4.56 \\ & (69-86) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 77.0 \pm 7.4 \\ (61-85) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 98.9 \pm 6.03 \\ (92-110) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 109.8 \pm 7.52 \\ (90-119) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 138.8 \pm 4.97 \\ (131-145) \end{array}$ |
| b | $\begin{gathered} 4.7 \\ (4.5-4.9) \end{gathered}$ | 6.6 | $\begin{aligned} & 9.3 \pm 1.14 \\ & (8.5-11.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.9 \pm 0.67 \\ & (10.9-12.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.2 \pm 0.13 \\ & (4-4.4) \end{aligned}$ | 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 7.2 \pm 0.63 \\ & (6.8-8.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.4 \pm 0.86 \\ & (8.2-10.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.2 \pm 0.71 \\ & (5.3-7.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.8 \pm 1.01 \\ & (6.6-9.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.4 \pm 0.69 \\ & (8.3-10.6) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12.2 \pm 0.52 \\ & (11.6-13.0) \end{aligned}$ |
| c | $\begin{aligned} & 25.6 \\ & (25-26) \end{aligned}$ | 40 | $\begin{gathered} 51.3 \pm 3.97 \\ (45-55) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76.9 \pm 9.66 \\ (69-99) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.1 \pm 1.39 \\ (22-26) \end{gathered}$ | 39 | $\begin{array}{r} 56 \pm 6.42 \\ (45.9-65.9) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86.1 \pm 5.97 \\ & (77.8-96.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.9 \pm 1.69 \\ (29-35) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45.2 \pm 6.61 \\ (37-55) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61.4 \pm 8.26 \\ (43-71) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 92.4 \pm 5.35 \\ (83-100) \end{array}$ |
| $c^{\prime}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.3 \\ (3-3.5) \end{gathered}$ | 2.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.3 \pm 0.15 \\ & (2.1-2.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.9 \pm 0.166 \\ & (1.6-2.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.2 \pm 0.129 \\ & (2.9-3.3) \end{aligned}$ | 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.7 \pm 0.137 \\ & (1.5-2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.2 \pm 0.106 \\ (1-1.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \pm 0.159 \\ & (3.3-3.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.8 \pm 0.269 \\ & (2.4-3.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.3 \pm 0.262 \\ (2-2.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.8 \pm 0.98 \\ & (1.6-1.9) \end{aligned}$ |
| Odontosyle length | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & (50-50) \end{aligned}$ | 55 | $\begin{gathered} 63.4 \\ (61-67) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 74.1 \pm 2,3 \\ (70-80) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61.3 \pm 1.86 \\ (58-63) \end{gathered}$ | 62 | $\begin{gathered} 76.5 \pm 1.77 \\ (75-80) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86 \pm 1.6 \\ & (82-88) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53.3 \pm 2.05 \\ & \quad(50-56) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62.7 \pm 2.5 \\ (60-67) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70.8 \pm 2.14 \\ (68-75) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76.7 \pm 2.94 \\ (72-80) \end{gathered}$ |
| Replacement odonostyle length | $\begin{gathered} 55.5 \\ (54-57) \end{gathered}$ | 65 | $\begin{gathered} 73.7 \\ (72-75) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 83.4 \pm 1.11 \\ (80-86) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61.5 \pm 1.52 \\ (59-63) \end{gathered}$ | 78 | $\begin{gathered} 85.9 \pm 2.7 \\ (82-89) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95.6 \pm 3.03 \\ (89-98) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 61.9 \pm 1.64 \\ & (59-64) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69.9 \pm 4.22 \\ (64-75) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76.8 \pm 2.52 \\ (73-80) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 87.5 \pm 1.05 \\ (86-89) \end{gathered}$ |
| Anterior end to guiding ring | $\begin{aligned} & 15.5 \\ & (15-16) \end{aligned}$ | 20 | $\begin{gathered} 23.3 \\ (22-26) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.9 \pm 1.38 \\ (25-27) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.5 \pm 0.55 \\ (16-17) \end{gathered}$ | 21 | $\begin{gathered} 24.4 \pm 0.74 \\ (23-25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.2 \pm 1.03 \\ (25-29) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 17.9 \pm 0.64 \\ \quad(17-19) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.7 \pm 0.95 \\ (20-22) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.8 \pm 1.03 \\ (20-24) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.7 \pm 0.52 \\ (24-25) \end{gathered}$ |
| Lip region width | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ (8-8) \end{gathered}$ | 10 | $\begin{gathered} 11.6 \pm 0.53 \\ (11-12) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.9 \pm 0.35 \\ (12-13) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.2 \pm 0.41 \\ & (9-10) \end{aligned}$ | 10 | $\begin{gathered} 11.5 \pm 0.53 \\ (11-12) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.4 \pm 0.51 \\ (12-13) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.6 \pm 0.52 \\ & (9-10) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.1 \pm 0.90 \\ (10-13) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.9 \pm 0.29 \\ (11-12) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.3 \pm 0.82 \\ (12-14) \end{gathered}$ |
| Largest body width | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & (16-16) \end{aligned}$ | 24 | $\begin{gathered} 31.1 \pm 2.41 \\ (28-34) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36.4 \pm 1.51 \\ (34-38) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.8 \pm 1.94 \\ (19-24) \end{gathered}$ | 37 | $\begin{gathered} 38.6 \pm 2.97 \\ (35-45) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 47.7 \pm 4.1 \\ (43-57) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20.8 \pm 1.67 \\ \quad(19-24) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.0 \pm 2.71 \\ (20-27) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.2 \pm 1.75 \\ (26-33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34.0 \pm 1.67 \\ (31-36) \end{gathered}$ |
| Anal width | $\begin{gathered} 12.5 \\ (12-13) \end{gathered}$ | 18 | $\begin{gathered} 23.3 \pm 1.8 \\ (20-25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.5 \pm 1.20 \\ (27-31) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.7 \pm 0.82 \\ (14-16) \end{gathered}$ | 25 | $\begin{array}{r} 27.4 \pm 1.3 \\ (25-29) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36.1 \pm 3.7 \\ (33-47) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.6 \pm 0.52 \\ & \quad(14-15) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.9 \pm 2.04 \\ (16-22) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.1 \pm 1.16 \\ (22-25) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.2 \pm 1.47 \\ (27-31) \end{gathered}$ |

by Szczygieł and Brzeski (1985), which was based on about 2,700 soil samples. This is interesting in the context of the potential impact of climate changes on the distribution and impact of pests on agriculture, which is widely discussed in the literature (Donatelli et al. 2017; Pathak et al. 2018; Juroszek et al. 2020). The results presented here suggest that to date there has been no such impact on $L$. elongatus, since it remains the most frequently occurring species of the family Longidoridae in Poland. However, the harmfulness of this species towards crops, including its ability to transmit viruses under various mean temperatures might require further study. The second most common species in this study was L. attenuatus. Populations of this species exhibited some morphometric differences compared to the populations known from the literature. For example, compared to the type population from England (Hooper 1961), the population from Mosina (Table 1) was characterized by a less slender body ['á index value $132.2(118-146)$ vs 158 (120-210)], however, the rest of the morphological traits, as well as D2-D3 28S rDNA confirmed the identification. To the best of my knowledge this nematode has been recorded for the first time from black locust, a tree known to be a host of the TBRV (Jończyk et al. 2004a, b). The exact role of this nematode in the circulation of the virus strains present in black locust among trees and possibly other plants requires further study. Longidorus euonymus was the least common of the three species. The Polish populations were largely similar to the type population described by Mali and Hooper (1973) from Slovakia. It is worth mentioning the difference which occurs when compared to populations from Italy. Roca et al. $(1985,1987,1988$ a, 1988b, 1989, 1991) and Roca and Lamberti (1993) have published a series of papers with morphometric data on this species. All the populations presented there are characterized by having a higher c' index compared to Polish populations (means in the range of $1.4-1.6$ vs 1.3 in both Polish populations. In contrast, Oro et al. (2005) have found lower c' index values in populations from Serbia (mean values in the range of 1.13-1.26).
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